23

24 PACIFIC SEAFOOD PROCUREMENT, LLC, et al.,

25

Defendants.

SEALED PURSUANT TO CIVIL LOCAL RULES 7-11 AND 79-5

Judge: The Honorable Alex G. Tse

27

26

28

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 2 1. I am a member of the Bar of the State of Oregon and am admitted pro hac vice to 3 practice before this Court in this matter. I am an attorney in the law firm Stoel Rives LLP, 4 counsel for Defendants Pacific Seafood Procurement, LLC; Pacific Seafood Processing, LLC; 5 Pacific Seafood Fleet, LLC; Pacific Seafood Distribution, LLC; Pacific Seafood USA, LLC, 6 Dulcich, Inc.; Pacific Seafood – Eureka, LLC; Pacific Seafood – Charleston, LLC; Pacific 7 Seafood – Warrenton, LLC; Pacific Seafood – Newport, LLC; Pacific Seafood – Brookings, 8 LLC; Pacific Seafood – Westport, LLC; Pacific Surimi – Newport, LLC (collectively, 9 "Defendants" or "Pacific") in this matter. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this 10 declaration and, if called to testify as a witness, could and would do so competently.
 - 2. I submit this Declaration in support of Pacific Seafood's Administrative Motion to Consider Whether another Party's Material Should be Sealed Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5.
 - 3. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1 is an unredacted true and correct copy of Exhibit D to the Declaration of Matthew D. Segal in Support of Pacific Seafood's Motion to Compel Nonparties Ozzie Gregorio and Triple G Seafood to Comply with Subpoena ("Segal Declaration").
 - 4. Attached hereto as Exhibit 2 is an unredacted true and correct copy of Pacific Seafood's Motion to Compel Nonparties Ozzie Gregorio and Triple G Seafood to Comply with Subpoena ("Motion to Compel").
 - 5. The basis for sealing Exhibit D to the Segal Declaration, and the parts of the Motion to Compel that quote Exhibit D to the Segal Declaration, both filed on November 10, 2025, is that the content of Exhibit D is designated as Confidential by nonparties Ozzie Gregorio and Triple G Seafood (collectively, "Gregorio"), pursuant to the Court's June 26, 2024 Stipulation and Protective Order (Dkt. No. 63).
 - 6. Pacific takes the position that the identified material is not "privileged, protectable as a trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection under the law" pursuant to Civ. L.R. 79-5 and

28

Document 489-1

Filed 11/10/25

Page 3 of 3

Case 3:23-cv-01098-AGT